Fellowships Prog. Information Sheet – NSF Graduate Research Fellowship

Updated: 8-27-2021

Updated: 8-27-2021			
	ction	Brief description	More information
1.	Award	This award aims to support and recognize	https://www.nsfgrfp.org/ and
		outstanding graduate students in social science and	https://www.nsf.gov/pubs/2021/nsf21602/nsf21602.pdf
		STEM fields who are pursuing research-based	
		master's and doctoral degrees at accredited	Infographic about program
		Universities and Institutions within the US. This grant	
		takes the form of a three -year annual stipend	2,500 awards are anticipated to be made for 2022. In 2021
		(currently \$34,000 per year) with additional tuition	2,074 awards were offered to candidates selected from
		and fees assistance and numerous other	~14,000 applications. Honorable Mentions went to almost
		opportunities for research and professional	1,800 individuals.
		development, include a prestigious alumni network,	Applicants who do not receive accorded to receive foodback
		"GRIP" and "GROW" opportunities for working in	Applicants who do not receive awards do receive feedback.
		government labs and international settings.	5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
			Pay close attention to <u>approved primary fields</u> (final page).
2.	Eligibility	Applicants must be a US citizen, national, or	See applicant eligibility and the eligibility quiz.
		permanent resident holding a bachelor's in a relevant	
		field and planning enrollment or continued study in a	
		relevant graduate school program at a US university.	D. W. O. L. L. D.
3.	Timing	Due to the specific and yet multidisciplinary nature of	<u>Deadlines & Important Dates</u>
		this Fellowship specific deadlines differ depending on	
		applicants' field of interest. The first deadline is	Seniors and bachelor's holders may apply (without limit on number of times) before enrolling in a degree granting
		approximately October 19; others quickly follow.	graduate program'
		Candidates should reach out to their advisers and	Graduate students enrolled in a degree granting graduate
		advising discussions should begin in junior year for	program may make one application either in their 1st year or
		applicants wishing to apply senior year, or senior	at the start of their second.
		year for those who plant to apply as alums.	Note: From Fall 2019, individuals pursuing joint bachelor's-
		year for those who plant to apply as alams.	master's degrees are limited to one application for NSF GRF.
4.	Selection	Applications are evaluated in respect to primary field	Tips for Applying
	Criteria	of interest on analysis of intellectual contribution and	
		the broader impacts that fellows can make in their	Merit Review Criteria
		respective disciplines. Very strong research	
		experience and skills, an excellent research proposal,	Lots more detail in the <u>FAQs</u>
		and a compelling personal statement are critical	
		elements of your application. The potential to	There are benefits from applying as a senior if you have a
		become an effective leader of your profession is very	strong research plan in mind for graduate study: you get
		important to demonstrate; usually this involves	practice preparing an application and you will get feedback
		meaningful collegiate leadership experience (on	on your application from the NSF GRFP review panel.
		campus and/or in academic research) and well-	
		grounded, future professional plans.	
5.	Smith	You are strongly encouraged to work with both your	This application requires no official institutional
	Role	faculty mentor and a Fellowships Adviser to prepare	endorsement.
		your application.	
6.	Fun	This fellowship has an extensive and prestigious	GRFP Alumni (searchable list of those offered awards)
	fact(s)	alumni network that is worth checking out! 91	
		Smithies have been offered NSF GRFs since 2000,	Tips from Smithies who have received recent NSF GRFP
_		including 8 graduating seniors.	awards or honorable mentions
7.	How to	Those interested in applying should reach out to Dr.	
	get	Andrew Dausch <u>adausch@smith.edu</u>) and talk with	
	started	their major advisers and research mentors.	

What Smithies say about NSF GRF.

"In terms of advice for future Smithie applicants, I'd say make sure you put your application drafts in front of as many people in your field as possible to get their feedback. Many faculty members are familiar with the application process or have even been reviewers themselves, and their advice about what is an interesting and feasible project idea is invaluable."

"The most challenging part of the application was coming up with a research idea I thought would be theoretically interesting, not yet studied, and of broader interest/policy relevance. I suggest that in applying students propose ambitious projects situated in the academic literature. In addition, the criteria that I think most people fall short on is broader interest/policy relevance, so I would make sure to really spend time thinking about and expressing why your project matters beyond academia. The best advice I received was honestly to read (and highlight) the <u>fellowship solicitation and directions</u> to get a better sense of what to focus on. For example, the directions state that students should explicitly demarcate sections for Broader Impact and Intellectual Merit, which are the two criteria that reviewers mark applicants on. I also benefited from reading the successful applications and reviews of people in my program."

NSF GRF Awardee, Sociology

"The most challenging part of the application was coming up with a focused research plan that was innovative yet not overly ambitious. However, the personal statement also heavily factors into success in applying to the NSF. Remember: they are actually funding you, not the exact project you're proposing. Making sure that the broader impacts of your research plan and your personal statement are in alignment will greatly improve your chances of success. It was a fact that all three of my reviewers commented on for my proposal.

I would start by reading successful applications, and following this up by making sure that you have many individuals within and outside of your field read your application. The more eyes on your application drafts the better! The final piece of advice I would have is to be very cognizant of which study section you submit your proposal under. This can make or break a great application! Some study sections are more difficult to get a high score in due to sheer volume of proposals. This is particularly important in STEM proposals, where your project could technically fit under a few different study sections." [Note: Past examples of proposals and personal statements from many fields are available via this site set up by one GRF recipient: http://www.alexhunterlang.com/nsf-fellowship.]

NSF GRF Awardee, Biological Sciences

"It's important to get back feedback on the disciplinary content, as well as the grammar and writing style."

"I recommend thoroughly reviewing the <u>NSF GRFP website</u> to really understand what they are looking for in applicants, requirements/deadlines, etc. And do the review well before applying. I recommend starting statements ~ 6 months before so students have time to sleep on drafts and have multiple people review their applications."

NSF GRF Awardee, Biomedical Engineering, Peer adviser to NSF GRF applicants at her institution

"For me, the most challenging part of the application was the research proposal. I knew what I wanted to do and I was confident in my research design, but it was hard to fit the research question, background literature, project description, and explanation of broader impact into two pages. In the end, I lost points on the 'broader impact' criteria because I did not sufficiently justify the project's practical importance. So, my advice to Smithies would be to read winning proposals and see how they manage that balance."

"Also, before submitting, students should share their proposal draft with multiple faculty members and/or graduate students in their field to get detailed feedback. My sense is that different fields (even within the social sciences) have different standards of evaluating research questions/designs, so getting detailed feedback from someone who is familiar with the relevant discipline, methodology, and past work on the research topic is very helpful."

NSF GRF Honorable Mention, Sociology