Force-velocity correlations in a dense, collisional, granular flow
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We report measurements in a 2-dimensional, gravity-driven, collisional, granular flow of the normal force delivered to the wall and of particle velocity at several points in the flow. The wall force and the flow velocity are negatively correlated. This correlation falls off only slowly when the wall force is correlated against the flow velocity at various locations across the channel, but dies away on the scale of a few particle diameters upstream or downstream. The data support a picture of short-lived chains of frequently colliding particles that extend transverse to the flow direction, making transient load-bearing bridges that cause bulk fluctuations in the flow velocity. The time-dependence of these spatial correlation functions indicate that while the force-bearing structures are local in space, their influence extends far upstream in the flow, albeit with a time-lag. This leads to correlated velocity fluctuations, whose spatial range increases as the jamming threshold is approached.

PACS numbers: 61.43.Gt, 45.70.-n

Sand flowing down a long, vertical, pipe does not accelerate in the direction of gravity because the walls of the pipe support the weight of the sand. When the outlet of the pipe is constricted, the flow slows down, but also becomes increasingly variable, with large regions of material appearing to move in unison. Momentum balance dictates that if the flow speed fluctuates in time, then the forces supplied by the walls must also vary in time. The average force borne by the walls of the pipe does not significantly change with the average flow velocity, therefore all dynamical information regarding the state of the flow must be contained in these force fluctuations.

In this article, we characterize the spatial and temporal correlations of fluctuations in the flow velocity and in the wall forces as the flow gets slower. We find that short-lived, local fluctuations in the wall force produce fluctuations in flow velocity at hydrodynamic time scales and over large length scales, which increase as jamming is approached. We also address the closely related issue of the spatial organization of these forces. When the flow is permanently arrested, the weight of the grains is communicated to the walls by a spatially heterogeneous web of forces called force chains [1–3]. The force-velocity correlations we measure are consistent with a picture in which short-lived force chains that temporarily hold up the rest of the material temporarily, until they broke under the remaining load. A broader understanding of such intermediate-scale stress-bearing structures would help identify the microscopic origins of the non-local rheology reported in a variety of flows [8–10].

We study the flow of smooth, spherical steel balls of diameter \( d = 3.125 \text{mm} \) contained in a 2-dimensional hopper. The flow velocity is controlled by varying the width, \( a \), of the outlet from 3d to 8d, with the sides held at a fixed angle of \( 10^\circ \) to the vertical. The flow field inside the hopper is measured by imaging at a rate of 500 frames/second (fps) the region shown inside the white box in Fig 1A. In Fig 1B, we show the variation of the time-averaged flow velocity, \( V_L \), as a function of position in the hopper. In the vertical direction, \( V_L \) varies inversely as the width of the hopper; in the horizontal direction (Fig 1C) the velocity profile shows only a weak spatial dependence with a large slip at the wall, and a maximum at the centre of the channel. The strain rate at the transducer, \( \partial V_L(X)/\partial Z \approx 1.5 \text{sec}^{-1} \), is nearly independent of the flow rate. Fluctuations about the average velocity profile have been measured [11, 12] at the walls of 3-dimensional dense flows; in agreement with those measurements, we find that the fluctuations are anisotropic, with bigger fluctuations along the flow. As the flow velocity is reduced, these fluctuations increase as shown in (Fig 1D), where we plot the normalized standard deviation of the flow velocity, \( \sigma_{V_L}/V_L \), against the local flow velocity, \( V_L \), for three different locations in the cell. At
up along the wall. (E) Time-dependence of the transducer voltage (proportional to force) and $V_L$ for $a = 4d$.

all three locations, fluctuations grow strongly relative to the mean as jamming is approached.

As argued previously, the dynamical origin of these velocity fluctuations must lie in fluctuations in the forces exerted by the walls. We measure this force by means of a normal force transducer embedded in the side wall; the active surface of the transducer is large enough to accommodate exactly one ball. The voltage output of the transducer (Fig. 1E), sampled at 100 kHz, is converted into a force by calibration with impacts and static loads of known magnitude. Over the entire range of the flow velocities explored in this article, the forces exerted against the wall are primarily collisional, with balls making repeated but isolated impacts against the transducer.

The collisional nature of the forces is not evident from inspection of the video images at these high packing fractions. Indeed, simulations [13] show that small changes in packing fraction can drive a change in the microscopic mechanism of momentum transfer from collisions to friction. We have previously studied [14] the statistics of the impulsive forces as a function of the flow rate, finding that the distribution of forces remained broad at all flow rates, with an exponential tail, just as in static granular media. In order to study the correlation of the flow and the forces, we make measurements of the velocity at 4000 fps, synchronized carefully with the force measurement (Fig. 1D). To achieve these higher imaging speeds without sacrificing spatial resolution, we are obliged to make the velocity measurement in a smaller window as indicated in 1A; measurements are made for several positions of this window: along the wall upstream (+Z direction) and downstream (−Z) of the transducer, as well as normal to the wall (+X).

The temporal characteristics of the fluctuations in flow velocity $V_L$, are quantified in Fig. 2A, where we show the autocorrelation function $C_{V_L}(0,t)$ [15] of the velocity at the transducer for several flow speeds. Unlike the magnitude of the fluctuations in $V_L$, the decay time is not sensitive to the distance from the jamming threshold: within statistics it is unchanged over a velocity range of 8 to 34 cm/s. (This unlike the observations in Ref. [11] where several measures of particle displacement scale with the distance the particle is advected; presumably the difference is that we are in an inertial regime whereas they are in a quasistatic regime where geometry is the dominant consideration.) The fluctuations in forces decay faster than the fluctuations in flow velocity, as can be seen in Fig. 2B, where we compare the autocorrelation of $V_L$, of force, $F$, and of the collision frequency, $f$.

Even though the collision frequency $f$ and velocity, $V_L$ fluctuate on different time scales, their equal-time cross-correlation, $C_{FV_L}(0,0)$, shown in Fig. 3, establishes that these quantities are anti-correlated: higher-than-average collision frequencies are accompanied by downward fluctuations in velocity. The same is true of the correlation between velocity and average force: large forces accompany negative velocity fluctuations. This is implied by the strong positive correlation shown in Fig. 3 of $f$ and

![FIG. 1: (A) Image of the lower part of the hopper. The flow rate is controlled by varying the outlet a. The force transducer is marked ‘T’. The white box indicates the field of view (≈ 10d x 20d) used to visualize the flow field at 500 fps. We measure fast fluctuations in the flow velocity $V_L$ at a higher rate of 4000 fps in a field of view whose size is shown by the small square (2.5d x 2.5d). (B) $V_L$ vs. position Z going up the wall from the transducer, for $a = 4d$. The solid line is the $Z$-dependence expected from the continuity equation for an incompressible flow. (C) $V_L$ normalized to the velocity at the transducer vs. position going horizontally across from the transducer, for several flow rates. The dashed line is the midpoint of the flow. (D) The normalized standard deviation in flow speed, $\sigma_{V_L}/V_L$, as a function of $V_L$ measured at three locations: at the transducer, 6d across from it, and 10d up along the wall. (E) Time-dependence of the transducer voltage (proportional to force) and $V_L$ for $a = 4d$.](image-url)
velocity fluctuations at several locations along the wall. Between the collision frequency and the average flow velocity, the degree of the correlation is very strong. Thus most of the information in force fluctuations is carried by plotting in Fig. 4, the equal-time cross-correlation between the collision frequency \( f \) at the transducer, and velocity fluctuations at different locations along the wall \( C_{fV_L}(Z,0) \), and at other locations normal to the wall \( C_{fV_L}(X,0) \). The greatest anticorrelation is at \( X = Z = 0 \), that is, between forces measured at the transducer and \( V_L \) at that location. These correlations decay anisotropically from this point. Along the wall, the correlation dies off rapidly as a function of \( Z \), with a decay length of 2 to 3 \( d \). Into the flow, though, the correlation decays approximately linearly in \( X \), going to zero only at the far wall. Thus the equal-time correlation is consistent with a high-collision-rate structure that is only a few beads wide in the flow direction, but can span the entire channel transverse to the flow. This can be identified with the collision chains seen in the simulations of [6]. Similar structures have also been visualized in the slow flow of soft photoelastic discs in a 2D hopper similar to ours [16].

Even though the equal-time correlations shown in Fig. 4 die off quickly in the upstream direction, high-collision rate events can have an effect far upstream. This is most clearly revealed in the time-dependent cross-correlation \( C_{fV_L}(Z,t) \) shown in Fig. 5. We first focus on the data (●) for \( Z = 0 \). It is apparent that the greatest anticorrelation is at negative times and not at \( t = 0 \). Thus, the collision rate builds up and is maximum at a time \( t_{tag} \) before the flow slows down. There is also a broad peak for positive times, indicating that a period of acceleration is likely to follow, and that there is a suppressed probability for the formation of another collisional “arch” immediately after. The other curves shown in Fig. 4 represent \( C_{fV_L}(Z,t) \) for increasing values of \( Z \), i.e., for positions along the wall, upstream from the transducer. The anticorrelation at the minimum of the curve \( t = t_{tag} \) is larger, and diminishes much slower with distance, than does the anticorrelation at \( t = 0 \). Thus even though the equal-time correlation indicates that the time required for the information propagates a distance \( Z \) upstream, is shown in the inset. The slope represents the velocity at which the force information propagates upstream; this velocity is more than an order of magnitude greater than the flow speed, and is comparable to \( d(f) \), the particle diameter multiplied by...
as a function of flow velocity, distance,

FIG. 6: (A) Equal-time spatial cross correlations $C_{V_L}(Z,t)$ against delay time, $t$ for different $Z$ along the wall. The maximum of the negative correlation occurs at a negative delay time $t_{lag}$. Inset: $t_{lag}$ versus distance, Z/d (•) and X/d (○).
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FIG. 6: (B) The time-dependent cross correlation $C_{V_L}(Z,0)$ as a function of position along the wall. The correlation decays slower in space as the flow slows down. This is demonstrated in Fig. 6B where we show the distance, $\lambda_{1/2}$, at which the correlation falls to 1/2.

Thus the approach to jamming is characterized by both an increasing correlation length scale in the velocity fluctuations (Fig. 6) as well as an increasing amplitude (Fig. 1D) for the fluctuations. We have also previously observed in this geometry [14] that a time-scale diverges: the collision time distribution goes to a power-law with an exponent of $-3/2$. The driving force for the slow-down and arrest of the flow appears to be collisionally stabilized structures reminiscent of the force chains observed in static granular packings. In our experiments, these collision chains are not directly observed but are identified via their anticorrelation with the velocity field: a high collision rate immediately precedes the slowing down of the flow. At any instant, the correlations indicate that these transient structures are thin in the flow direction and long-ranged transverse to the flow. The effect of these localized structures is propagated rapidly to upstream parts of the flow, signalling a temporary clogging of the flow downstream. We are currently exploring similar phenomena in 3D flows [17], where we are able to study both collisional and frictional regimes.
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[15] We denote the time- and space-correlation of variables $q$ and $p$ by $C_{qp}(r,t) \equiv \langle q(t_0) p(r, t_0 + t) \rangle$ where $q(t) = q(t) - \langle q \rangle$. The angle brackets, $\langle \cdot \rangle$, denote an average over the initial time, $t_0$; since the system is not homogeneous in space, no average is taken over the initial position, typically chosen to be the location of the transducer. The subscript $q$ is not repeated for autocorrelation functions.