



Spatial Force Correlations in 3D Granular Flow

K. Hattam '09, A. Stratulat '09, N. Easwar, Smith College
N. Menon, University of Massachusetts at Amherst



Background: Force fluctuations in static sand

Forces are spatially inhomogeneous

Stress mainly along linear structures – ‘force chains’

Howell et al

Force distribution is exponential, not Gaussian

Major Questions

Force chains in flow?

- There has been indirect evidence of dynamic force chains, can we look for them through direct force measurements at the boundary?
- Are the forces at the boundary correlated, i.e. when the force is high on one side is it high on the other?
- Once a force is high, how long does it stay high?
- Once forces are correlated how long do they remain that way?
- How does flow velocity affect force correlations and durations?

Experimental Setup

- Spherical glass beads of diameter, $d=4\text{mm}$
- Opening size, a , can vary from $4d$ to $10d$
- Flow velocity ranging from 1.4 cm/s to 30 cm/s
- Diameter of transducer head is comparable to the ball diameter
- Transducer scan rate: $3.2 \times 10^3\text{ Hz}$
- Normal forces

Opening a (d)	Flow v (cm/s)
5.00	5.1
5.75	5.3
6.75	8.1
8.00	14.0
9.25	23.0
10.00	30.0

Average Force at the Transducer

Some Terminology

High Forces are the higher than one standard deviation above the average force value.

$f_{\text{high}} > f_{\text{ave}} + \sigma$

Force data is scaled and converted to binary. High forces get a value of 1, low forces 0.

Transducer Pairs:

- Directly/Diametrically Opposite
- Diagonally Opposite
- Partial Diagonal
- Same Side

The ‘control’ data is created by calculating the correlation between two sets of force data from unrelated runs. This gives the smallest correlation value that can be expected while still taking into account the fact that high forces cluster.

Force correlations at the boundary as flow moves toward jamming

Forces correlation calculated between diametrically opposite transducers for different flow rates.

Opening a (d)	Flow v (cm/s)
5.00	5.1
5.75	5.3
6.75	8.1
8.00	14.0
9.25	23.0
10.00	30.0

Weak correlations insensitive to flow rate

No apparent directionality

No significant difference between transducer pairs. If there are force chains forming, they do not prefer any one direction over another.

Durations of high forces

Probability Distribution of Duration Times for High Forces

High forces are more frequent in slower flows.

Longer time scale shows that high force also cluster more frequently in slower flows.

Correlated durations

Forces stay correlated longer in slower flows. However, actual correlation (solid circles) is not distinguishable from control correlation (open circles). Consistent with small correlated values shown on slide 5.

Time shifted correlations

The transducer is correlated with the force f_1 at the other transducer at a later time. $f_1(t_0)$ is correlated with $f_2(t_0 + t)$ to produce the...

The Correlation Function $C(t)$

$$C(t) = \langle f_1(t_0) f_2(t_0 + t) \rangle$$

Information provided by $C(t)$

Time shifted correlations

Diametrically opposite forces (red lines) are correlated with no time shift or directionality

Control data (blue lines) show no correlation

Time shifted correlations

Peak is NOT centered at zero. Forces are more highly correlated with a time shift.

Right shift indicates force information is traveling upward (opposite direction of flow) at a calculated speed

Flow (cm/s)	Shift (ms)	v (m/s)
5.3	0.1625	151.23
23.0	0.265	92.45

v is over 400 times the flow velocity for $a=9.25d$ and almost 3000 times the flow velocity for $a=5.75d$

collisional transfer of information?

Conclusion

- Forces are weakly correlated at the boundaries
- High forces are more frequent and live longer in slower flows
- Force information travels up the flow

So are there force chains?

It's a resounding maybe.

Forces are correlated at the boundaries, but it is a very weak effect. It is possible that the force chains exist but that their effect is watered down when looking at the flow as a whole. Short lifetimes or infrequent occurrences could account for the weak correlation