**College Council on Community Policy**

**Meeting Minutes**

**October 5, 2012**

**Members Present:** Margaret Bruzelius, Carla Cooke, Ashavan Doyon, Ana Gorman, Victoria Gilligan, Mackenzie Green, Stacie Hagenbaugh (chair), Daniel Kramer, Raymond LaBarre, Kristen Miao, Shama Rahman, Danielle Ramdath, Donna Safford, Laura Smiarowski, Barbara Williams, Sherry Wingfield, Pamela Nolan Young

**Others present:** Scott Graham, Jennifer Walters

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

# Introductions and review of last year’s main issue with whether to allow Coca Cola to participate in the RFP process

# Discussion of last year’s issue included that upon closer examination of the vendor code, it seems to be geared toward the Bookstore and apparel products. Jennifer Walters voiced that the vendor code looked more like one for a large college or university. Smith did not invite Coke to participate in the RFP process last year. Daniel Kramer pointed out that our only interest was in the direct employees of Coke. Mackenzie Green thought student input should be considered and she admitted she was not on the committee last year and did not know how involved students were. Carla Cooke mentioned that she thought that students who were involved in the original campus ban on Coke would be disappointed that the issue was again under consideration. Daniel Kramer explained that Coke cannot be banned for life from Smith, and that is why there was a review process last year. Margaret Bruzelius voiced concern about other companies and practices and what this committee’s involvement should be. Shama Rahman articulated that alumnae, their contributions, and their relationships/connections with vendors might be considered. Stacie Hagenbaugh wanted to have this discussion in case similar issues come up in the future.

# Discussion regarding the role of the CCCP

# Representatives of many areas of the college and in the Northampton community are on the committee and we discuss issues and make recommendations. Often, the President of the College asks for a recommendation. There is also some history with Tom Riddell.

# Vendor Code of Conduct—more discussion

# When asked “to what does the vendor code apply?” Ashavan Doyon responded that he thinks it is for anything with a Smith logo on it (or thought it was at least when the vendor code first came out). Barbara Williams asked about when the Vendor Code applies. Margaret Bruzelius asked if this committee is proactive or reactive. Stacie answered that CCCP is definitely reactive. She said the committee does not look at the vendor code without being asked, but asked Laura Smiarowski where the vendor code lives, since at one point, a work-study position was used to manage it. Now, the Vendor Code only seems to apply to the highest level companies and it would be impossible to regulate all of the smaller ones. Jennifer Walters sees a work-study student educating the college community about the code and social responsibility. Mackenzie Green admitted that SGA looks more at value for the price, not social justice value. Shama Rahman believes more social justice education is needed and a work-study position could be used to educate the SGA and orgs. Stacie asked Laura Smiarowski to find out more about the work-study position and the possibility of reviving it.

# Issues for 2012-2013

# Stacie explained that particular issues may be brought to her. Danielle Ramdath brought up that the crosswalks from the garage to the campus are not safe, particularly crossing Green Street. She would advocate for a speed bump on Green Street. Stacie said that she will ask Gary Hartwell and Paul Spector (from the city of Northampton) to come to a meeting and help address this issue. Shama Rahman would like to address diversity events and responses to incidents.

Next Meeting: Friday, November, 2, 2012 at noon (Campus Center 203/204)

Minutes respectfully submitted by Sherry Wingfield and Carla Cooke