TENURE & PROMOTION WORKSHOP
Hosted by the Office of the Provost
May 28, 2015
9:00 – 11:00 a.m.
College Hall 301

Presenters: Joseph O’Rourke, Associate Provost and Dean for Academic Development
Hayley Spizz, Secretary to the Committee on Tenure and Promotion
Rosetta Cohen, Former Member of T&P Committee (08-09, 09-10, & 10-11)
Borjana Mikic, Former Member of T&P Committee (11-12, 12-13, & 14-15)
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2015-16 Committee on Tenure & Promotion
Kathleen McCartney, President, Chair
Katherine Rowe, Provost & Dean of the Faculty
Lauren Duncan through 2018
Jocelyne Kolb through 2017
Dana Leibsohn through 2018
William Oram through 2016
Dennis Yasutomo through 2016
# TENURE & PROMOTION TIMELINE FOR 2015-16 CANDIDATES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January 21, 2016</td>
<td>Tenure &amp; Promotion Workshop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 1, 2015</td>
<td>II.B.1.e. of Policy: Tenure track faculty members who become parents during the probationary period will automatically receive a one-year extension of the probationary period. Tenure track faculty members who wish to decline the extension will notify the Provost by March 1 in order to be considered for tenure in the fall of that calendar year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 2015</td>
<td>President sends letter to all candidates eligible to stand for tenure and promotion in the next academic year (copies to chairs/directors).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 2015</td>
<td>Deans meet with tenure and promotion candidates. Deans meet with chairs/directors of tenure and promotion candidates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 2015</td>
<td>President sends memo to chairs/directors of all associate professors eligible to stand for promotion in the next academic year (copies to associate professors).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 2015</td>
<td>Deans meet with promotion candidates. Deans meet with chairs/directors of promotion candidates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 1, 2015</td>
<td>Tenure and promotion candidates and departments each submit a list of four (minimum) potential outside reviewers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 28, 2015</td>
<td>Tenure &amp; Promotion Workshop (faculty members may attend as often as they wish)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 1, 2015</td>
<td>Promotion candidates and departments each submit a list of four (minimum) potential outside reviewers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May/June 2015</td>
<td>Outside reviewer lists are reviewed and approved by President and Provost.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May/June/July/August 2015</td>
<td>Requests for participation are sent to potential outside reviewers. Follow-up and additional requests are made. Four reviewers are secured for each candidate (2 from candidate list and 2 from department list).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 8, 2015</td>
<td>Candidates submit:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- 2 copies of the dossier for the Committee;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- 8 copies each of the curriculum vitae, statement, and record of teaching form for the Committee;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- 4 copies of the dossier labeled for outside reviewers;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- 1 copy of the dossier directly to the department (2 copies, if the department is large).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mid-September 2015</td>
<td>Dossiers, accompanied by a letter from the President outlining evaluation criteria, are mailed to outside reviewers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 2015</td>
<td>Memo sent to candidates providing names of outside reviewers (copies to chairs/directors).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 2015</td>
<td>Committee’s monthly meetings begin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October/November 2015</td>
<td>Two Committee members are assigned as readers for each candidate. Committee members begin reading of dossiers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 1, 2015</td>
<td>Outside reviewer letters are due.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 1, 2015</td>
<td>No new materials may be added to the dossier.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October/November 2015</td>
<td>Upon receipt, copies of outside reviewer letters are sent to chairs/directors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 15, 2015</td>
<td>Affiliated program recommendation letters due.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 8, 2015</td>
<td>Departmental recommendation letters, individual letters, H2 (promotion), and H3 (tenure) forms are due. Candidate receives a copy of the departmental recommendation letter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October/November/December 2015</td>
<td>Committee members receive for each candidate: CV, statement, record of teaching, course evaluations, outside reviewer letters, unsolicited letters of recommendation, and departmental recommendations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-December 2015</td>
<td>Committee meets for first review of all cases.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 23, 2015</td>
<td>Date by which departments receive notification if they are required to meet with the Committee in January. (Individuals also may request a meeting with Committee for January.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 15, 2016</td>
<td>Last day to submit new information regarding materials already in dossier.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 15, 2016</td>
<td>Last day Committee will receive unsolicited letters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 2016</td>
<td>Committee meets for discussions, meetings with departments and candidates, and deliberations. (Meetings can continue into February.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 2016</td>
<td>Board of Trustees meets to review and approve recommendations of the Committee.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 2016</td>
<td>Candidates receive outcome letters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 1, 2016</td>
<td>Date by which candidates and departments are required to receive notification.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Reappointment, Tenure & Promotion

Policy

› Policy of Appointment, Reappointment, Promotion, and Tenure (the "Yellow Document")
› Current Practices of the Committee on Tenure & Promotion

Faculty Record Sheet

› Faculty Record Sheet

Reappointment

› Guidelines for Review of Candidates for Reappointment Memo (PDF)
› Reappointment Memo for Candidates (PDF)
› Dept. Procedures Record, Form H1
› Sample Letter Requesting Reappointment Evaluation
› Dept. Procedures Record, Form H4

Tenure

› March 2015 Tenure Letter (opens in new browser window)
› Faculty Curriculum Vitae Guidelines
› Tenure and Promotion Dossier Guidelines
› Record of Teaching Form
› Dept. Procedures Record, Form H3
› 2015 Workshop Documents

Promotion

› April 2015 Promotion Memo
› Deadline Information for 2015-16 Promotion Candidates and Chairs
› Faculty Curriculum Vitae Guidelines
› Tenure and Promotion Dossier Guidelines
› Record of Teaching Form
› Dept. Procedures Record, Form H2
› 2015 Workshop Documents
› Guidelines for Promotion to Full Professor
March 2015

As Chair of the Committee on Tenure and Promotion, I am writing to inform you that, according to our records, you will be considered for tenure in the 2015-16 academic year. The following deadlines apply to tenure candidates to be reviewed in 2015-16. Check these dates carefully. Consideration of your case depends on adherence to these deadlines. Please also note that under some circumstances you may request a one-year extension (see page 3).

May 1, 2015
Submission by the candidate of the names, addresses, e-mail addresses, and telephone numbers of four potential evaluators, in priority order (Policy, Section IV.B.2.d.).

September 8, 2015
Submission of two (2) copies of dossier for the Committee on Tenure and Promotion; eight (8) copies of the curriculum vitae; eight (8) copies of the record of teaching form; and eight (8) copies of statement on current scholarship and teaching, plans for the future, and service.

December 8, 2015
Departmental recommendation due in President’s Office.
March 2015
Page Two

by June 1, 2016
(mandated deadline)

Decision announced to candidate and department.

The Committee observes that the most useful letters from outside evaluators come from people who are dispassionate observers. In almost all cases you should not recommend as evaluators persons with whom you have close personal ties or with whom you have had a close collegial or professional relationship. As far as possible, candidates and departments should select evaluators who are established active scholars in the field of the candidate’s expertise. We ask that you not discuss your selection of evaluators with your department.

As you may know, the Policy does not mandate that a candidate’s outside evaluators be of a higher rank than the candidate for tenure or promotion. The Committee can foresee circumstances where the rank of an evaluator might be less of a determining factor—for example, in a field where the major work is being done by a certain generation of scholars who are peers of the candidate—and therefore is hesitant to impose a rigid rule mandating the rank of outside evaluators. However, the Committee urges departments and candidates to recommend outside evaluators senior in rank to the candidate, and close in area of expertise, unless the Committee is apprised of some particular reason for choosing otherwise (e.g., expertise, field, knowledge of the candidate’s work, etc.).

The Committee will not accept new materials for a dossier after November 1. However, new information regarding materials already in the dossier, or honors received, etc., may be submitted up to January 15; the Committee may request status reports regarding materials in the dossier after that date. Letters not solicited by the Committee will not be accepted after January 15.

When a member of the faculty is affiliated with a program or programs outside the home department/program, the affiliated program(s) shall review the candidate for tenure and promotion if their procedures call for it. Please review the program procedures to determine whether and what type of review will be undertaken. Recommendations by affiliated programs are due to the candidate, the home department/program, and the Committee on Tenure and Promotion by November 15. Please see the Policy Section V.B. for additional information.

Please note that tenure track faculty members who become parents during the probationary period will automatically receive a one-year extension of the probationary period. If this policy applies to you, please notify the Provost by March 1 of the year in which you will be considered for tenure. Tenure track faculty members who wish to decline the extension will notify the Provost by March 1 in order to be considered for tenure in the fall of that calendar year (see the Policy Section IV.B.1.e.). The policy of a one-year extension is applied for every child born or adopted during the probationary period.
Please also note that a member of the faculty may petition for a one-year extension of the probationary period prior to the tenure decision for one of the following reasons: serious illness, unusual personal responsibilities, academic or administrative responsibilities during a substantial part of the probationary period that are considerably beyond the normal load for the department or the college, or that undergo substantial change during the probationary period. The Policy Section IV.B.1.f. addresses such a petition.

The costs of duplicating materials for a tenure review are covered by funds from the Provost's Office. In order to be reimbursed for expenses (copying services, books, materials, etc.), the candidate will need to submit original receipts and a completed "Expense Reimbursement Report" (http://www.smith.edu/controller/Reimbur.xls) to Hayley Spizz, College Hall 206. All efforts should be made to hold these expenses to the absolute minimum required. Expenses in excess of $300 must receive prior approval by the Provost and permission will be granted only in the most extraordinary circumstances.

Please forward information and materials requested above to the Committee on Tenure and Promotion in care of Hayley Spizz, College Hall 206. Forward the dossier for your department directly to the department.

You should consult Sections II and IV of the Policy. The Policy, along with Curriculum Vitae Guidelines, Dossier Guidelines, and the Record of Teaching Form are all available online (http://www.smith.edu/deanoffaculty/tpa.html#). If you have any further questions about the procedures involved in the solicitation of outside evaluations, or the general procedures pertaining to the review for tenure, please contact the Provost or myself.

Sincerely,

Kathleen McCartney
President
Chair, Committee on Tenure and Promotion

KM/hs

cc: <<chair name>>, <<chair title>>, <<chair dept>>
Katherine Rowe, Provost and Dean of the Faculty
Subject: Review for Promotion, 2015-16

To: Department Chairs and Program Directors

Date: April 20, 2015

From: Kathleen McCartney, Chair Committee on Tenure & Promotion

I am writing to you in your role as department chair or program director, to remind you to confer with all those in your program who are eligible for promotion to full professor – about their plans for coming up for review. Please do so in the next week or two. The Committee on Tenure and Promotion asks you then to send us a list of all those you have identified as possible candidates for review in the coming academic year. (You may send those names care of Hayley Spizz, hspizz@smith.edu.)

Details of the process, eligibility, and timeline are summarized below and a schedule of deadlines is attached. The Provost and Dean of the Faculty is available to answer questions about the procedures for promotion. Questions about the timeliness of a particular candidate applying for promotion should be addressed to the Associate Provost and Dean for Academic Development, who is not a participant in any reviewing process.

Outside Evaluators
The Committee observes that the most useful letters from outside evaluators come from people who are dispassionate observers. In almost all cases you should not recommend as evaluators persons with whom the candidate has close personal ties or with whom the candidate has had a close collegial or professional relationship. As far as possible, candidates and departments should select evaluators who are established active scholars in the field of the candidate’s expertise. We ask that you not discuss your selection of evaluators with the candidate. You should not contact the potential evaluators in any way. The Committee will contact them directly.

As you may know, the Policy does not mandate that a candidate's outside evaluators be of a higher rank than the candidate for promotion. The Committee can foresee circumstances where the rank of an evaluator might be less of a determining factor – for example, in a field where the major work is being done by a certain generation of scholars who are peers of the candidate – and therefore is hesitant to impose a rigid rule mandating the rank of outside evaluators. However, the Committee urges departments and candidates to recommend outside evaluators senior in rank to the candidate and close in area of expertise, unless the Committee is apprised of some particular reason for choosing otherwise (e.g., expertise, field, knowledge of the candidate’s work, etc.).

Please see Sections III.A.1.e., f., and g. of the Policy regarding procedures for soliciting outside evaluations.

Adding Materials to the Dossier
The Committee will not accept new materials for a dossier after November 1. However, new information regarding materials already in the dossier, or honors received, etc., may be submitted up to January 15; the Committee may request status reports regarding materials in the dossier after that date. Letters not solicited by the Committee will not be accepted after January 15.
Affiliations
When a member of the faculty is affiliated with a program or programs outside the home department/program, the affiliated program(s) shall review the candidate for tenure and promotion if their procedures call for it. Please review the program procedures to determine whether and what type of review will be undertaken. Recommendations by affiliated programs are due to the candidate, the home department/program, and the Committee on Tenure and Promotion by November 15. Please see the Policy Section V.B. for additional information.

Dossier Costs
The costs of duplicating materials for a tenure review are covered by funds from the Provost's Office. In order to be reimbursed for expenses (copying services, books, materials, etc.), the candidate will need to submit original receipts and a completed "Expense Reimbursement Report" (http://www.smith.edu/controller/Forms.php) to Hayley Spizz, College Hall 206. All efforts should be made to hold these expenses to the absolute minimum required. Expenses in excess of $300 must receive prior approval by the Provost and permission will be granted only in the most extraordinary circumstances.

Review Committee Chair
If the chair of a department is not eligible to participate in the review for promotion (i.e. is not a full professor) the responsibilities of the chair (other than the distribution of this memo) are to be assumed by the senior member of the department eligible to vote on the promotion; a copy of this memorandum should be given to the senior member by the department chair.

H2 Form
An H2 form should be completed and returned to the Committee on Tenure and Promotion by December 8, 2015, for each candidate considered for promotion by the department. This form, along with Curriculum Vitae Guidelines, Dossier Guidelines, and the Record of Teaching Form are all available online at http://www.smith.edu/deanoffaculty/tpa.html.

Thank you once again for your cooperation.

KM/hs

cc: Associate Professors in rank for at least five years

Enclosures: Deadlines for Promotion to Full Professor
Guidelines for Promotion to Full Professor
Deadline Information for 2015-16 Promotion Candidates and Chairs

June 1, 2015 — Outside Reviewer Lists Due

- Names and Contact Information:
  - Candidate is to submit names, addresses, e-mail addresses, and telephone numbers of four outside evaluators, in priority order.
  - Department is to submit (separately) names, addresses, e-mail addresses, and telephone numbers of four outside evaluators, in priority order.

- Annotations:
  - The candidate and the department are to annotate their own lists of potential evaluators to provide the Committee with the reason(s) for each choice and the area(s) of expertise of each potential evaluator; in addition, the candidate must state whether, and under what circumstances, s/he knows each potential evaluator cited on the list s/he submits.

- Review Timing:
  - The candidate and department should submit their lists of outside evaluators by this date regardless of whether the review will or may take place in the fall of 2015, and should indicate whether the review will or may take place. (While the initiation of a recommendation for promotion normally originates in the candidate's department, it may also be initiated by the candidate.)
  - If the candidate is initiating the promotion review, s/he must inform the department and the Committee on Tenure and Promotion of this intention in a timely fashion so that the June 1 deadline can be met.

September 8, 2015 (the first day of classes of the fall semester) — Dossier Materials Due

- Materials for the Committee on Tenure and Promotion:
  - The candidate is to submit two copies of dossier for the Committee on Tenure and Promotion; eight copies of the curriculum vitae; eight copies of the record of teaching form; and eight copies of statement on current scholarship and teaching, plans for the future, and service.

- Materials for Outside Evaluators:
  - The candidate is to submit four copies of dossier labeled for outside evaluators, if outside evaluations have been requested or are required by the Policy.

- Materials for Department:
  - The candidate is to submit one copy of dossier for the department.

December 8, 2015 — Departmental Recommendation and H2 Form Due

- Recommendation Letter:
  - The department is to submit a letter containing a full statement of the reasons and a summary of the evidence for the recommendation for or against promotion.
  - The department is to provide the candidate with a copy of the letter stating the reasons for the recommendation.
  - When a vote is not unanimous, each member of the department who voted or abstained shall write a confidential letter to the Committee on Tenure and Promotion stating his or her judgment. Each letter shall include reasons and evidence explaining the particular recommendation.

- H2 Form:
  - The department is to submit a completed Departmental Procedures Record, H2 form, which is available online: http://wwtv.smith.edu/deanoffaculty/tpa.html#.

All materials should be submitted to the Committee on Tenure and Promotion in care of Hayley Spizz, College Hall 206, with the exception of the dossier for the department, which should be submitted directly to the department.

4/20/2015
Guidelines for Promotion to Full Professor

timetable: normally 6-8 years

The Committee on Tenure and Promotion considers all of the following guidelines. In addition, the Committee is aware that faculty members’ contributions will vary. Thus in our deliberations on promotion, we reflect on the benefits to the College of a range of attributes and accomplishments.

Scholarship

➤ evidence of substantial progress on research projects beyond materials presented for tenure
At tenure we ask for evidence of ability to design and complete a major research project beyond the dissertation. We also require evidence of the promise of another project to suggest a continuing research trajectory. At the time of promotion to Professor, we look for evidence of continuing scholarly growth in developing another significant research project, which has been substantially demonstrated through publications and presentations at conferences and professional meetings.

➤ professional recognition through influential scholarly exchange with colleagues
Such wider recognition of a candidate’s work may be demonstrated by citations of the candidate’s work by other scholars, by reviews of the candidate’s published work, by invited lectures or contributions to edited volumes, by leadership roles in professional organizations; and by collaborative projects across institutions, among other evidence of the impact of a candidate’s work on a field.

Teaching

➤ continued excellence in classroom teaching
Excellent performance in the classroom remains important among the criteria for promotion. Evidence would include student course critiques, classroom visits, and reviews of syllabi.

➤ evidence of curricular innovation or development
A candidate may provide evidence of the development of new courses, or new pedagogies, significant participation in the curriculum design and development of interdisciplinary programs and departments; leadership roles in College curricular initiatives or in the Five Colleges; College recognition of teaching excellence through prizes or nominations.

Service

➤ service on major College elected or ad hoc committees or in the Five Colleges
We agree that in the probationary period before tenure, candidates should have performed service primarily within the department. To be considered for promotion to full professor, candidates should demonstrate substantial commitment to and involvement in institutional service beyond the department.

➤ leadership roles in Department or Program service
Such roles might include chairing committees with major responsibilities, such as the curriculum committee in some departments, chairing search committees, or chairing a department or program.
Important Request from Provost Katherine Rowe, Smith College

Dear [ evaluator salutations ],

To expedite matters, I send you via e-mail the following letter from Provost Schuster requesting your participation in the review of [ cand firstName ] Professor of [ cand degree ] [ cand lastName ] for [ review for ]. Your positive response would be greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,
Hayley Spizz

May 1, 2015

[ evaluator name ]
[ evaluator address 1 ]
[ evaluator address 2 ]
[ evaluator address 3 ]
[ evaluator address 4 ]
[ evaluator address 5 ]

[ cand firstName ] [ cand lastName ] [ cand title ] Professor of [ cand degree ] at Smith College, is being considered for [ review for ] in 2015-16, and your name has been suggested as a colleague in the field who might be consulted for an outside review of [ cand pronoun ] work.

I am writing to inquire whether it would be possible for you to help us by undertaking a review of [ cand firstName ] [ cand lastName ]'s scholarship and sending us your comments on it by November 1, 2015. It is the policy at Smith College to secure only four outside reviewers for a candidate; thus an evaluation from you would constitute 25% of [ cand pronoun ] external review. It is also our policy to request letters from colleagues who do not know the candidate well, so that collaborators and Ph.D. advisors or committee members will not be included among the four outside reviewers. If you are able to help in this review, a packet of materials, including a curriculum vitae, a personal statement by the candidate, and copies of [ cand prefix ] [ cand firstName ] [ cand lastName ]'s recent publications will be sent to you as soon as possible after September 8.

We would be glad to receive your response via email to Hayley Spizz, Secretary to the Committee on Tenure and Promotion, at hspizz@smith.edu, or by phone to (413) 585-3005. We place a heavy burden on colleagues these days, and I am sure that you receive many requests of this type each year. Nonetheless, if you were able to put aside some time for us, I would very much appreciate your help. If you have any questions about this process, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Katherine Rowe
Provost and Dean of the Faculty

KR/hs
Reappointment, Tenure & Promotion

FACULTY CURRICULUM VITAE GUIDELINES
Please follow this order and use these numbers in creating your curriculum vitae.
List the information in reverse chronological order in each category.

1. Name

2. Address and Telephone Number - home and campus

3. Degrees:
   - Doctorate; Date; Place
   - Master's; Date; Place
   - Bachelor's; Date; Place

4. Awards and Honors (name and date)

5. Employment History (include dates)

6. Grants Received (date and duration)

7. Publications: (Make clear, in shared or multiple authorship, what share of the work was yours. List names as they appear in the publication.)
   a. Published - books; articles (please indicate whether a journal is refereed); edited volumes; introductions to books; chapters in books; abstracts; book reviews; review articles or essay
   b. Forthcoming - works in press (name of press, anticipated schedule; include relevant correspondence in dossier; list in order as presented in 7.a.)
   c. Works in Progress - works submitted, but not yet accepted; works not yet submitted (list in order as presented in 7.a.)

8. Concerts, Performances, and Exhibitions (indicate whether peer reviewed, juried, etc)

9. Scholarly Lectures and Other Professional Presentations (date, title, place and occasion)

10. Other Professional Activities (editorial boards, review of manuscripts and grant proposals, dissertation committees, etc.)

11. Professional Memberships

12. College or Department Committees and other College Service (including liberal arts advising, major/minor advising, departmental committees and responsibilities [dates served], College committees [dates served], Five College service, lectures for Alumnae Association, etc.)

Page last updated April 20, 2015.
Reappointment, Tenure & Promotion

TENURE & PROMOTION DOSSIER GUIDELINES
The following is not meant to denote a "standard format" of items that must form part of a candidate's dossier, but is meant to help candidates in thinking about the types of information they may wish to include in a dossier. This listing is intended to guide candidates to submit the most interesting and relevant materials, and thus to present the most persuasive case.

I. The dossier for campus use (1 copy for the department, 2 copies for the Committee on Tenure and Promotion)
   A. A table of contents (including the title of each article, lecture or book enclosed)
   B. Curriculum Vitae (follow Smith College Faculty Curriculum Vitae Guidelines)
   C. Brief personal statement on current scholarship and teaching, plans for the future, and service (not to exceed 2500 words)
   D. Teaching record (complete Smith College Record of Teaching Form)
   E. Published books, articles, or other scholarly or artistic work
   F. Unpublished materials
   G. Grant applications
   H. Copies of syllabi for courses recently taught
      Candidates may also wish to submit other materials, such as:
      I. Copies of any departmental and individual student comments
      J. Description of other special contributions in teaching, scholarship and service

II. The dossier for outside reviewers (4 copies, clearly labeled, for outside evaluators)
   A. A table of contents (including the title of each article, lecture or book enclosed)
   B. Curriculum Vitae (follow Smith College Faculty Curriculum Vitae Guidelines)
   C. Brief personal statement on current scholarship and teaching, plans for the future, and service (not to exceed 2500 words)
   D. Published books, articles, or other scholarly or artistic work
   E. Unpublished materials
   F. Grant applications

N.B. Please ensure that materials are in the order indicated by the table of contents and that each dossier contains all the materials listed in the table of contents.
SMITH COLLEGE
RECORD OF TEACHING FORM

Name:

Department:

Please fill-in the course numbers and titles for the semesters you have taught at Smith (for promotion to full professor candidates, only include semesters since tenure). Indicate any semester(s) you were on leave or sabbatical. On attached page(s), provide information on: (1) special studies or honors projects directed; (2) participation in other courses; and (3) other special teaching contributions or activities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Semester</th>
<th>Course 1</th>
<th>Course 2</th>
<th>Course 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spring '16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall '15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring '15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall '14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring '14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall '13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring '13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall '12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring '12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall '11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring '11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall '10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring '10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall '09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring '09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall '08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring '08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall '07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring '07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall '06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring '06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall '05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring '05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall '04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring '04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall '03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring '03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall '02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring '02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall '01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring '01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall '00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
September 8, 2015

Dear [evalutation]:

Thank you very much for agreeing to provide the Committee on Tenure and Promotion with an assessment of the work submitted by [cand.firstname] [cand.lastname]. The Committee will be considering [cand.prefix] [cand.lastname] for [review for]. The members of the Committee would be grateful for your frank assessment of [cand.pronoun] scholarly achievement and promise. We have found that the evaluations that are most helpful to us are those that comment on the substance of the dossier in some detail and that place the contributions of the candidate in a larger context. The following questions provide guidelines in evaluating [cand.pronoun] scholarship, as reflected in the enclosed work or from your personal knowledge.

- Do you know this candidate, and, if so, for how long and in what capacity?
- How does the research rank in quality in comparison to that of others in [cand.prefix] [cand.lastname]'s field at similar stages in their careers?
- What are some of its specific strengths and weaknesses?
- How would you assess its originality, methodological soundness, reliability, and significance to [cand.pronoun] field?
- Are you familiar with the journals/publishers in which [cand.prefix] [cand.lastname]'s work appears? If so, how would you assess their quality?
- Do you see in [cand.prefix] [cand.lastname]'s work evidence of scholarly growth and promise since receipt of the Ph.D.?
- Would you please comment on how collaborative work is viewed in this field? If you have any knowledge of [cand.prefix] [cand.lastname]'s contribution to jointly authored works, please provide your assessment of [cand.pronoun] contributions in those works.
The Committee welcomes any additional comments you may wish to make on <cand_prefix> <cand_lastname>’s professional achievements. I would like to add that, in reaching its decision, the Committee considers a number of factors in addition to scholarship, including achievement in teaching and service to the college, which we are not asking you to evaluate.

The <cand_dept> Department must make its formal recommendation on the question of <review_for> for <cand_prefix> <cand_lastname> by December 8, 2015. Therefore, we would appreciate receiving your comments by November 1 so that the Department can consider them in making its recommendation. Please send your comments to:

President Kathleen McCartney, Chair
Committee on Tenure & Promotion
College Hall 206
Smith College
Northampton, MA 01063
Attention: Hayley Spizz

Our Policy of Appointment, Reappointment, Promotion and Tenure at Smith College requires that the candidate and the Department be apprised of the names of the outside evaluators, and further stipulates that your letter will remain confidential with the members of the Department eligible to vote on this candidate and with the Committee on Tenure and Promotion. The Committee would find it helpful if you would send us a copy of your own curriculum vitae.

My colleagues on the Committee and I appreciate your willingness to help us with our consideration of <cand_firstname> <cand_lastname> for <review_for>.

Sincerely,

Kathleen McCartney
President
Chair, Committee on Tenure and Promotion

KM/hs
September 8, 2015

Dear [eval salutation]:

Thank you very much for agreeing to provide the Committee on Tenure and Promotion with an assessment of the work submitted by [cand first name] [cand last name]. The Committee will be considering [cand prefix] [cand last name] for [review for]. The members of the Committee would be grateful for your frank assessment of [cand pronoun] artistic and scholarly achievement and promise. We have found that the evaluations that are most helpful to us are those that comment on the substance of the dossier in some detail and that place the contributions of the candidate in a larger context. The following questions provide guidelines in evaluating [cand pronoun] artistic work, as reflected in the enclosed work or from your personal knowledge.

- Do you know this candidate, and, if so, for how long and in what capacity?

- How does the work rank in quality in comparison to that of others in [cand prefix] [cand last name]'s field at similar stages in their careers?

- What are some of its specific strengths and weaknesses?

- Do you see in [cand prefix] [cand last name]'s work evidence of artistic growth and promise during [cand pronoun] period of teaching at Smith College?

- Please provide us with an evaluation of the venues at which [cand prefix] [cand last name]'s work has been exhibited or performed.

- Would you please comment on how collaborative work is viewed in this field? If you have any knowledge of [cand prefix] [cand last name]'s contribution to jointly authored works, please provide your assessment of [cand pronoun] contributions in those works.
The Committee welcomes any additional comments you may wish to make on `{cand_prefix}` `{cand_lastname}'s professional achievements. I would like to add that, in reaching its decision, the Committee considers a number of factors in addition to scholarship, including achievement in teaching and service to the college, which we are not asking you to evaluate.

The `{cand_dept}` Department must make its formal recommendation on the question of `{review_for}` for `{cand_prefix}` `{cand_lastname}` by December 8, 2015. Therefore, we would appreciate receiving your comments by November 1 so that the Department can consider them in making its recommendation. Please send your comments to:

President Kathleen McCartney, Chair  
Committee on Tenure & Promotion  
College Hall 206  
Smith College  
Northampton, MA 01063  
Attention: Hayley Spizz

Our Policy of Appointment, Reappointment, Promotion and Tenure at Smith College requires that the candidate and the Department be apprised of the names of the outside evaluators, and further stipulates that your letter will remain confidential with the members of the Department eligible to vote on this candidate and with the Committee on Tenure and Promotion. The Committee would find it helpful if you would send us a copy of your own curriculum vitae.

My colleagues on the Committee and I appreciate your willingness to help us with our consideration of `{cand_firstname}` `{cand_lastname}` for `{review_for}`.

Sincerely,

Kathleen McCartney  
President  
Chair, Committee on Tenure and Promotion

KM/hs
Departmental Procedures Record

TENURE

Department:

Chair:

Candidate's Name:

Appointments at Smith: YEAR TERM RANK

1. Teaching
   a. Have the department's practices for observing teaching been followed in this case?
   b. Please indicate the classes visited with the dates and names of the visiting faculty members.

2. Scholarship
   a. Has the candidate been asked for all the evidence: dissertation, articles, books (published or in manuscript), and creative works that should be considered in this case?
   b. Have these materials been reviewed by representatives of the department?

3. Service
   a. Has the candidate been asked to provide the department with evidence of service to the College?
   b. Has service to the College been reviewed by representatives of the department?

4. Notification
   a. Have the candidate and the Committee on Tenure and Promotion been informed of the department's recommendation in writing? If not, this must be done by December 8. (See Section IV.B.2.g. of the Policy.)

Date ________________ Signature ____________________ Chair/Director

DUE DECEMBER 8

Tenure and Promotion Workshop
Departmental Procedures Record

Form H2

Department:  
Chair:  

Candidate’s Name:  

Appointments at Smith:  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>RANK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

1. Teaching  
   a. Have the department’s practices for observing teaching been followed in this case?  
   b. Please indicate the classes visited with the dates and names of the visiting faculty members (if applicable).

2. Scholarship  
   a. Has the candidate been asked for all the evidence: dissertation, articles, books (published or in manuscript), and creative works that should be considered in this case?  
   b. Have these materials been reviewed by representatives of the department?

3. Service  
   a. Has the candidate been asked to provide the department with evidence of service to the College?  
   b. Has service to the College been reviewed by representatives of the department?

4. Notification  
   a. Have the candidate and the Committee on Tenure and Promotion been informed of the department’s recommendation in writing? If not, this must be done by December 8. (See Sections III.A.1.b. and III.A.2.a. of the Policy.)

Date __________________ Signature ____________________  
Chair/Director  

DUE DECEMBER 8
## Current and Former Members of the Committee on Tenure and Promotion

### 2015-16 Committee Members

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Dept</th>
<th>Term</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Duncan, Lauren</td>
<td>PSY</td>
<td>through 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kolb, Jocelyne</td>
<td>GER</td>
<td>through 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leibsohn, Dana</td>
<td>ART</td>
<td>through 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oram, William</td>
<td>ENG</td>
<td>through 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yasutomo, Dennis</td>
<td>GOV</td>
<td>through 2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Former Committee Members (from the active faculty)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Dept</th>
<th>Most recent year served</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baumer, Donald</td>
<td>GOV</td>
<td>2008-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradbury, Scott</td>
<td>CLS</td>
<td>2008-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brady, John</td>
<td>GEO</td>
<td>2013-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohen, Rosetta</td>
<td>EDC</td>
<td>2010-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>de Villiers, Jill</td>
<td>PSY/PHI</td>
<td>2003-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edwards, Suzan</td>
<td>AST</td>
<td>2011-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frost, Randy</td>
<td>PSY</td>
<td>2009-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gardner, Daniel</td>
<td>HST</td>
<td>1997-98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haas, Ruth</td>
<td>MTH</td>
<td>2005-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hairston, Andrea</td>
<td>AAS</td>
<td>2006-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henle, Jim</td>
<td>MTH</td>
<td>2014-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levin, Susan</td>
<td>PHI</td>
<td>2014-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merritt, Robert</td>
<td>BIO</td>
<td>2013-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mikic, Borjana</td>
<td>EGR</td>
<td>2014-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patey, Douglas</td>
<td>ENG</td>
<td>2012-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peckol, Paulette</td>
<td>BIO</td>
<td>2010-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rudnitsky, Alan</td>
<td>EDC</td>
<td>1999-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spelman, Elizabeth</td>
<td>PHI</td>
<td>2010-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staelin, Charles</td>
<td>ECO</td>
<td>2014-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whittier, Nancy</td>
<td>SOC</td>
<td>2009-10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Updated 5/21/2015*